The evolution of the proportion of women in orade $A$ ac-
ademic postions between 2010 and 2013 confims that





FIIGRERE: Proportion (O) Of of women, members of
scientific and administrative boarcs. 2014


Nand Women continue to o b under-reperesented in top academ
ic decision-making postions In 2014, within the group




Board leadership lags belind with women's reperesenta

in board leadership positions, these proportions are based
on low membership levels.
FIGURE 3: Evolution of the e proportion (\%) of women
heads of institutions, 2010 vs. 2014


IGURE 4 Proportion (O) of Research Performing
raganisation (PROS) that adoptea Gender Gquality Plans 013 (ERA survey sample only)





As is the case with scientific and administrative boards, women have also tended to to be historicically undiver-reppre-
sented at the head of higher ducation institutions see

 Of institution increased in 15 out of 20 EU cuntries for
which tata were available for both 2010 and 2014 . Two
countries, Serbia ( 540 ) and 5 Sweden ( $(500 \%)$, reached or
 surpassed parity for this indicatornal ( 514 , white others
such as Iceland ( $40 \%$ ) and Norway $(399 \%)$ are getting

in the context of the 2014 European Research Area surev, research organisations were asked if they had see Ip 'Gender Equality Plans's in other words, a consistent
set on measures and actions aimed at achieving gender
equality. Fiquures 4 and 5 show the responses from the seculteasures and actions aimed at achieving gender
equalty figures a and 5 sow the ersponses from the
1200 Research Performing Organisations that contributed to the surver.

FIGURE 5: Proportion (\%) of Research \& Development
(R\&D) Personnel working in RPOs who adopted Gender

She Figures 2015 Publication The She Fifures pulication is the main surre of pan-European,
comparable statistics on the state of gender euuality in research
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Gender in Research
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 opportunities for women and men in research careers
gender balance in decision-making and the interation gender balance in deeision-making and the integration of
the gender dimension in research content, ie. taking into
account the bioiolocical characteristictics as well as the social the gender dimension in research content, ie. tat
account the biololical charaterisitics a well as the
and cultural features of boch women and men.

This leaflet brings together a range of preliminary data
from the upcoming 'She Figures 2015 'pulication. It profrom the upcomini 'She figures 2015 ' publication. It ppa
vides data on the proportion of womp researchers, as
well as women's representatition in decision-making roles well as women's reperesentation in decision-making roles
such as at the head of universitites or as members and
leaders of research boards at national levelt addtit leaders of research boords at national level.II addition
provides a f rist view of the concrete commitment to pro mote enderer equality
Organisations (RPOS)

TABLE 1: Proportion (\%) Of women (ISCED 6 graduales
(2012) and compound annual growth rate
(1SCED 6) graduates, by sex, 2002-2012

| County |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grown | Tend | Grown | Tread |
| EU-28 | ${ }^{47}$ | 44 | "nnu" | ${ }^{23}$ | "mman |
| ${ }_{\text {BE }}$ | 44 | 74 | ...numull | 39 | ."14" |
| ${ }^{86}$ | 52 | 95 | ...).unul | 10.1 | .... |
| cz | ${ }_{41}$ | 93 | ...numull | 6. | ."n! |
| ок | ${ }^{45}$ | 65 | ....null\| | 37 | ".1"nunt |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ | 45 | 35 | nunun! | -0.4 | ".11 |
| ${ }^{\text {EE }}$ | 51 | $-1.5$ |  | 21 | "turnut |
| ${ }^{1 E}$ | 49 | 130 | ....nun | 90 | ..."n |
| E | 44 | 55 | .". 1 " | 25 | ." la |
| es | 49 | 39 | nutunu14 | 26 | ntum |
| ${ }_{\text {FR }}$ | ${ }^{43}$ | 60 | . | 56 | . . |
| нR | ${ }_{5} 5$ | 21.7 | ---7.al | 134 | ....... |
| ! | ${ }_{53}$ | 102 | ..-nu" | 95 | ..ntu |
| cr | 50 | 147 | -...a....11 | 217 |  |
| Lv | 60 | 158 | $\cdots$ | 217 |  |


general, the number of women graduates (ISCED 6
vas growing at a faster rate than the number of men
 number of women graduates in the EU has been growing
by 4.4 perentage eooins aech year between 2003 and
2012 , whereas men graduates have grown by 2.3 nerentage points annually (ISCED 6 )

Despite these positive signs, data indicate that large dif
ferences remain when it comes to the subjects that wom ferences remain when it comes to the subjects that women and men study at this level. For example, women's rep
resentation in Engineering, Manufacturing and Construc
 TABLL 2: Proportion (\%) of women researchers (2012)
and compound annual goowth rate (\%) for fesearchers, by and compound an
sex, 2005-2011

| Comar | Wesemen |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eu-28 | 33 | 48 | ..$^{\prime \prime}$ | 33 | . ${ }^{\text {! }}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {日E }}$ | 34 | ${ }^{66}$ | ..!n! | ${ }^{34}$ | ı!n! |
| ${ }^{86}$ | 49 | 50 |  | 25 | ınn! |
| ${ }^{\text {c2 }}$ | 28 | 30 | ıиш! | 36 | .nmen |
| ок | 35 | 65 | ". | ${ }^{37}$ | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ! |
| ${ }^{\text {DE }}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | ${ }^{83}$ | $\cdots$ | 30 | - |
| ${ }_{\text {EE }}$ | 44 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | ı.1.11" | 40 | แn! |
| ${ }_{\text {E }}$ | 32 | 50 | ..n! | 33 | ..10! |
| el | ${ }^{37}$ | 54 | , " | 5.1 | - |
| Es | 39 | 42 | ı.1.10 | 28 | ın!u! |
| ${ }_{\text {fr }}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 35 | ı.1.11 | 56 | ..nn! |
| нR | ${ }_{48}$ | 27 | ı....1. | ${ }_{0} .8$ | ..n! |
| « | 36 | 45 | ним! | 25 | แแแแ! |
| cr | ${ }^{37}$ | 74 | ...n+! | ${ }_{4}$ | нแ! |
| tv | ${ }_{53}$ | ${ }_{48}$ | nulun! | 36 | , ılıun |
| « | 52 | 77 | .."nun | 53 | Hum |
| ${ }^{*}$ | 24 | 99 | . ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 37 | ".'! |
| ни | ${ }_{31}$ | 15 | пи! | 34 | แпи! |
| мт | 30 | 50 | -.nenn | 44 | .nun+1 |
| мL | 24 | ${ }^{86}$ | ...' | 55 | ..-' |



Within the Higher Education Sector, the gender imbalance

 nesearchers it each cield, comparing the years 2005 and
2012. The lighter the colour, the closer the field is to equal

 there has been lilited change in Engineering \& technol-
ogy and Natural sciences. In 2012, In countries were ap-
oaching gender balance in Natural sciences, and only ogy and Natural sciences. In 2012, 15 countries were ap-
proaching gender balance in Natual sciences, and only
htree countries in Engineering and technology (Nethertree countries in Engineering and

FIGURE 1: Evolution of the proportion (\%) of women





